An Introduction to Interferometry



Interferometry is needed at radio/millimetre/submillimetre wavelengths because of the limitations of building large single dish telescopes.

- Spacing the antennas out provides better angular resolution.
- Adding more antennas provides more collecting area.




(Credit: ESO)


Having said this, single dish telescopes still have some key advantages over interferometers.


- Single dish telescopes can measure the total energy from objects.
- Single dish telescopes can usually map large areas more effectively.





(Credit: Large Millimeter Telescope)











Typically, interferometers do not record the measurements from individual antennas but from pairs of antennas.

The signals from pairs of antennas multiplied together and averaged using correlators.



(Credit: ALMA (ESO/NAOJ/NRAO), S. Argandoña)

The resulting output signals are called complex visibilities. The visibilities can be written as


$$\mathcal{V}(u,v) \propto \iint V_1(l,m) \ V_2(l,m) \ dl \ dm$$
 $\mathcal{V}(u,v) \propto \iint V_1(l,m)^2 \ \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}(ul+vm)} \ dl \ dm$
 $\mathcal{V}(u,v) = \iint I(l,m) \ \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\mathrm{i}(ul+vm)} \ dl \ dm$
 $\mathcal{V}(u,v) = A\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$

The amplitudes (A) from the correlator output describe the total amount of emission measured by the antenna pair (which corresponds to a specific spatial scale as will be described in the next slides).


The phases (φ) from the correlator output describe the position of the emission offset from the centre of the beam of the telescope.

The calibration process involves making corrections to these amplitudes and phases that account for and remove effects related to the atmosphere, the weather, and the instrument.


Each pair of antennas is effectively sensitive to emission on a specific spatial scale. Antennas that are closer together can detect emission on larger scales, while antennas that are further apart can detect emission on smaller scales.




Each pair of antennas is effectively sensitive to emission on a specific spatial scale. Antennas that are closer together can detect emission on larger scales, while antennas that are further apart can detect emission on smaller scales.




Each pair of antennas is effectively sensitive to emission on a specific spatial scale. Antennas that are closer together can detect emission on larger scales, while antennas that are further apart can detect emission on smaller scales.



The relative orientation of the antennas is also important. To measure emission in two different dimensions, it is important to have two pairs of antennas with orthogonal orientations.



Also, the relative orientation of a pair of antennas as projected on the sky will change as the Earth rotates. This can be useful for measuring structures in the sky at different angles.




100 The projection of the baselines of all of the antennas on the sky is referred to as the uv coverage of the interferometer. -100 -200 -300 -300 -200 -100 100 200 U (m)

The visibilities are related to the emission from the sky through a Fourier transform.


$$I(l,m) = \iint \mathcal{V}(u,v) e^{-2\pi i(ul+vm)} du dv$$

The uv coverage is therefore directly related to the Fourier transform of the spatial scales that are measured in the sky.


It is important to fill in the uv coverage as much as possible to measure emission on all spatial scales.




Plots of the amplitudes versus uv distance are effectively similar to what the objects look like after undergoing Fourier transforms. Different objects will appear differently in these plots. Point sources (or unresolved sources) will appear as flat lines.




The amplitudes for exponential disks (or other extended objects) will decrease as a function of uv distance. The size of the object in uv space is inversely related to the size of the object as it appears on the sky.



The amplitudes for exponential disks (or other extended objects) will decrease as a function of uv distance. The size of the object in uv space is inversely related to the size of the object as it appears on the sky.



The amplitudes for planets will look like sinc² functions. Planets are often used for flux calibration. However, because the signal drops to 0 at large uv distances, only the data from short uv distances (corresponding to short baselines) can be used for deriving the flux calibration.



500


The angular resolution of an interferometer is given by


 $\theta_{beam} = 1.22 \, \lambda \, I \, L_{max}$


which is a classic angular resolution equation.

However, interferometers cannot measure emission on spatial scales smaller than

 $\theta_{MRS} = 0.6 \lambda / L_{min}$








100 When imaging data, people will often talk about different ways to weight the data in the uv plane. Three different schemes -100 are used. -200 -300 -300 -200 -100 100 200 U (m)

Natural weighting: Each data point is given equal weighting.


Because the inner regions of the uv plane have more data points, the final images tend to contain more emission from large scale structure and have slightly larger beams.



Uniform weighting: Data points in more poorly sampled parts of the uv plane are given more weight to counterbalance the higher sampling in other parts of the uv plane.

This produces images with smaller beams but does not reproduce large scale emission as well.


The resulting maps are also noisier.



Briggs weighting: This uses a robust parameter to adjust between natural and uniform weighting.


Setting robust=2 is equivalent to natural weighting.


Setting **robust=-2** is equivalent to uniform weighting.



Briggsbwtaper weighting:

This is like Briggs weighting, but it includes an additional adjustment to account for the fact that the beam sizes are slightly different for slightly different frequencies.





The data processing for ALMA consists of three parts: A priori calibration Calibration with the bandpass, amplitude, and phase calibrators **Imaging** 3.

In the a priori calibration, the following data processing steps are applied to the data:

- 1. Corrections to the amplitudes are applied based on measurements of the system temperature.
- 2. Corrections to the phases are applied based on measurements of water vapour along the line of sight.
- 3. The antenna positions are adjusted.

In the second half of the calibration, the following data processing steps are applied to the data:

- 1. The phases and amplitudes of the data are corrected as a function of frequency using measurements of a bright, point-like source with a featureless spectrum (the bandpass calibrator).
- 2. The amplitudes are rescaled based on the measurement of a source with a known flux density (the flux calibrator).
- 3. The phases are adjusted as a function of time based on the phase measurements of a point-like source near the science target with a well-known position (the phase calibrator).

The bandpass calibrator is usually a bright quasar.

The **flux calibrator** is usually a bright quasar that has been cross-calibrated against a Solar System object. (This calibrator is usually also the bandpass calibrator.)

The phase calibrator is usually a fainter quasar that is close to the science target (although sometimes the bandpass calibrator could be used if it is close enough to the science field).

The final data processing step is the imaging, where the visibility data is Fourier transformed into a sky image.

The beam (or point spread function) from interferometry observations usually has a lot of extended or ring-like structures when directly imaged, so astronomers use a technique called cleaning to re-image the data.

Cleaning involves using Fourier analysis to replace the more complicated beam with a Gaussian function.

After this, the data are ready for analysis.

As a final note, keep in mind that it is possible to create several moderately different versions of an image from the same radio interferometry data. This is very different from data processing in other bands, where the data processing steps should always yield very similar if not identical results. Therefore, when creating images from radio interferometric data keep in mind how different parameter settings will affect the final images.